UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/563,561	06/19/2006	Richard John Hamlyn	BJS-5288-2	3751	
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 07/21/201 NDERHYE, PC	0	EXAMINER		
	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	CLAYTOR, DEIRDRE RENEE			
ARLINGTON,	VA 22203		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1627		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/21/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/563,561	HAMLYN ET AL			
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	-		
	Renee Claytor	1627			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	•	l l	dress		
This application is abandoned in view of:					
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office     (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on	lailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	·			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection					
application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); of				
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).					
(d) ☑ No reply has been received.					
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8	5).				
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was</li> <li>), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> </ul>					
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.				
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	he publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$	·		
(c) $\square$ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	t been received.				
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).					
<ul><li>(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on</li><li>after the expiration of the period for reply.</li></ul>	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	smission dated	), which is		
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.					
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.	attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire in	nterest, or all of		
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity ur	nder 37 CFR		
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfere of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for see	king court review		
7. The reason(s) below:					
A phone call to Applicants attorney was not returned	<b>i</b> .				
/SREENI PADMANABHAN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1627					
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (	CFR 1.181, should be	promptly filed to		